

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/988,283

Applicant(s)

IIZUKA ET AL.

Examiner

Walter B Aughenbaugh

Art Unit

1772

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Walter B Aughenbaugh (USPTO)

(3) Mainak H Mehta

(2) Harold Pyon (USPTO)

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 June 2003

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: all of record
Identification of prior art discussed: all of record

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Discussed objections and amendments required to overcome objections.

Discussed outstanding 112 first and second rejections.

Discussed Iizuka not available as prior art.

Discussed rejection to claim 1 as amended (motivation to optimize.)

Applicant will file a supplemental amendment to the 06/05/03 amendment.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Walter B Aughenbaugh
Examiner's signature, if required